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1. Introduction

Currently, the assessment used by university-level only evaluates the performance of students based on the score. Sometimes teachers cannot decide the students' ability when two students get the same rating in tests because most teachers prepare evaluation without considering the standardized tests. Since there are no specific tests on reading at private or state universities, the researcher is eager to establish such a reading assessment test to measure students' reading ability accurately. As an essential language skill, reading is also required in academic and social life. Furthermore, Oakley (2011) stated that reading comprehension could be defined as the skill of combining background knowledge with reading texts. This statement indicates that students should have a specific skill to combine between reading and comprehension. Then, they can understand the reading texts easily.

Moreover, based on the Asia-Pacific region (OECD, 2017), Indonesia was far behind its neighborhood countries. To improve students' quality, the Indonesian government implemented the EFL in the classroom with English lessons included as a compulsory subject for junior and senior high school students. There are two purposes of primary and secondary schools in learning English. First, students need to be prepared to read English texts to go to university. Since reading is one of their most important goals, the researcher uses adapted READS as a reading assessment for private university students in Indonesia. The original READS (Reading
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Barrett's taxonomy
Evaluation and Decoding System) is a standardized reading assessment developed in Malaysia (Mohamed, Eng, & Ismail, 2010). Then, it is adapted into Indonesia READS based on the Indonesian curriculum and syllabus.

1.1. The Concept of Test, Evaluation, and Assessment

Brown (2004: 3) stated that "A test is a method of measuring a person's ability, knowledge, or performance in a given domain". A test consists of specific questions, but several test components should be prepared before designing a test. Brown (2004: 3) mentioned three parts of the test. First, it is an instrument—a set of techniques, procedures, or items—the requires performance on the part of the test taker. Second, a test must measure. Some tests measure general ability, while others focus on particular competencies or objectives.

On the other hand, assessment and evaluation describe collecting and interpreting evidence for some purposes. In language learning there are two crucial implications of language assessment; first, it is the assessment object (the thing being assessed) and second, the medium of the evaluation (the means through and within which to consider) (Johnston & Turner., 2003).

The essential purpose of any assessment is to collect information to decide on some categories. Stanley and Tognolini (2011) defined an assessment as involving professional judgement based upon an image formed by collecting student performance data. There are several ways for teachers to collect data from student assessment. These have been summarised by Stanley and Tognolini (2011: 26) along a continuum of assessment methods (Figure 1) that range from 'less formal or unstructured methods' to 'more formal or highly structured methods' of collecting information.

Based on Figure 1, in this study, the adapted READS (Reading Evaluation and Decoding System) is referred to as a standardized test of reading assessment developed in Malaysia (Mohamed, Eng, & Ismail, 2010). There are three components on READS. After the test is completed, students can get the result directly. READS was developed based on Barrett's Taxonomy as cited in Alderson (1984). READS contains three components of reading comprehension skills such as literal, reorganization and inferential. The skill level on READS is categorized from easy to difficult level. For example, literal involves recognition and recall of ideas and information. Then, reorganization is dealing with the organizing of ideas and information. Last, inferential is ideas and information used as the basis for making intelligent hypotheses. All skills categories are significant, especially to measure the reading ability of students.

1.2. Reading and Reading Comprehension

There are several definitions of reading. It is not only related to the ability to understand the explicit meaning of the passage presented. The reader also has to understand the explicit and implicit meaning of the text. Besides, Pumfrey (1977: 2) stated:

It is, in essence, a constructive thinking process which includes comprehension of explicit and implicit meaning. It involves the application, analysis, evaluation and imagination.

Furthermore, Harmer (2001: 199) stated, when the learners read a story or a newspaper, they deploy a range of respective skills. It means that reading is the individual skill that requires the readers' ability to create interaction between the world's linguistic knowledge and knowledge.
Reading can be concluded as an active process to get meaning from printed words or verbal symbols in written text from the definition above. To get the intended purpose of the text, the reader must understand what she or he is reading. During this process, the reader combines her or his language skills and the background of world knowledge to understand the meaning.

Reading is an essential skill for students to get knowledge from books. By reading, students can easily contribute to the information in their neighborhood. Snow (2001: 9) defined reading comprehension as the process of simultaneously extracting and constructing meaning through interaction and involvement with written language.

To enhance the ability of students, Indonesia government support the EFL in class with English lessons provided as a compulsory subject for junior and high school students. Moreover, based on the need for a more targeted and effective education system in Indonesia, the writer adjusts a specific assessment which refers to Barrett's Taxonomy. It starts from the syllabus under Barrett's Taxonomy of reading comprehension. The description of the program and Barrett's Taxonomy is stated as the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill categories in Barrett's Taxonomy</th>
<th>Skill specifications in the Indonesian English Language Syllabus/textbook</th>
<th>Barrett's Taxonomy of Reading Comprehension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literal comprehension</td>
<td>i. scanning of simple text (functional text)</td>
<td>i. recognition of detail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. identify the topic sentence in simple text</td>
<td>ii. recognition of the main idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reorganization</td>
<td>i. identify the text of intermediate level</td>
<td>iii. recognition of sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. identify descriptive text and cause and effect</td>
<td>iv. recognition of comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferential</td>
<td>i. recognizing of detail</td>
<td>v. cause and effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. recognizing of the main idea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. recognizing of sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iv. recognizing of comparison</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v. cause and effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Research Method

Airasian, Mills, and Gay stated (2012: 130): "The first step in sampling is to define the population to which results generalizable." The population may be any size and may cover almost any geographical area. Furthermore, Airasian (2012) defined:

A distinction is made between the population to which the researcher would ideally like to generalize study results, the target population, and the community. The researcher can realistically select subjects known as the accessible population.

In this study, the sample consists of 122 students of the English Education Department at one of the private universities in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. The samples were collected randomly from students year 2015 through 2018.

This study refers to a descriptive study based on the three levels of Barrett's Taxonomy, namely literal comprehension, reorganization, and inferential comprehension. The instrument which was used in this study is called adapted READS (Reading Evaluation and Decoding System). The researcher chooses READS as the main instrument since it is standardized of reading assessment to determine student reading comprehension proficiency in Malaysia since 2014. READS was developed based on Barrett’s Taxonomy as cited in Alderson (1984).
2.1. Content Validity of Adapted READS

According to Gay and Airasian (2003), validity is the appropriateness of the interpretations made from tests score. There are also three kinds of validity; content validity, criterion-related validity, and construct validity. In this study, the researcher used content validity which was verified by six experts. All of the experts have experience in the English assessment. Four of the experts are from Universitas Ahmad Dahlan and two experts are from State University of Yogyakarta. They were asked to verify the adapted READS based on the statements below:

1) The online system is suitable in the Indonesia setting.
2) There are no cultural biases such as currency, names, place, etc. All of the texts which refers to the Malaysian context already changed into Indonesian context.
3) The format of the test is clear.
   a) The type of texts is suitable.
   b) The length of the passage is proper.
4) The level of difficulty is appropriate for university level.

Before READS was tested to Indonesian students, the researcher asked several experts to evaluate the READS texts. Most of the original READS passage consists of Malaysian cultures, such as currency, addressing names, name of places and specific events. Several terms like Puan, Tuan and Cik are not familiar for Indonesian. The researcher then has to adapt all of the Malaysian cultural text into Indonesian cultural passage to avoid cultural bias. Finally, Indonesia READS given to students was changed based on the experts' opinions and suggestions.

3. Findings and Discussion

There are several steps in establishing a reading assessment. First, the researcher has to check the curriculum and syllabus of English, especially reading skills, because the curriculum is the primary source for developing the encoder and matrix. This process is related to the prescribed standard. READS is the evaluation standard system to provide respiratory, encoder, matrix and decoder. The test result is the performance standard that gives the complete information "What" students should know and be able to do at each grade level and band.

READS contains three components of reading comprehension skills such as literal, reorganization and inferential. The skill levels on READS are categorized from easy to difficult level. For examples, literal involves recognition and recall of ideas and information. Then, reorganization is dealing with the organizing of ideas and information. Last, inferential is ideas and information used as the basis for making intelligent hypotheses. All skills categories are significant, especially to measure the reading ability of students.

Finally, all students were assessed using READS and Barrett's Taxonomy scale to determine the sublevels of questions stated in Table 1. After students completed the test, the researcher used the test results to categorize the students into Performance Bands (Band 1 to Band 5) as shown in Table 2. There were several steps to establish Indonesian cut scores. First, the Z score was utilized to calculate the cut scores based on the formula on the z-score. Then, the cut scores for the performance bands were developed based on z-score. Negative z-score indicates that the students scored below the mean, whereas positive z-score indicates that the students score is above the mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bands</th>
<th>Band 1</th>
<th>Band 2</th>
<th>Band 3</th>
<th>Band 4</th>
<th>Band 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scores</td>
<td>0 - 15</td>
<td>16 - 22</td>
<td>23 - 29</td>
<td>30 - 41</td>
<td>42 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Standard</td>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>Below</td>
<td>Meet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the finding of the Z-scores, the value of mean and standard deviation was calculated. The mean was 29.0, and the standard deviation was 7.0. The raw score then rounded to the nearest
whole number. The scores for the various performance bands were then calculated based on z-score, as shown in Table 2. After classifying the performance bands’ cut scores, then identify the learners’ specific reading abilities by referring to the Reading Matrix.

Finally, the performance bands for each educational level are showed in Table 3. The reading performance of the students categorized into:

1) 31 students (25.5%) categorized into meet standard (Band 5)
2) 57 students (46.7%) categorized into below standard (Band 4)
3) 28 students (22.9 %) categorized into academic warning (Band 3)
4) 6 students (4.9 %) categorized into academic warning (Band 2)

Table 3. Performance Bands for Each Educational Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL</th>
<th>Band 1</th>
<th>Band 2</th>
<th>Band 3</th>
<th>Band 4</th>
<th>Band 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 clarifies the level of performance standards. For example, Year 4 students who get Band 5 would be categorized as "meet standard". If students Year 4 get Band 3, they would be categorized into "below standard" and students Year 3 who get Band 5 would be categorized into "above standard." The performance band results indicate that the English Department’s reading ability is low because some students get Band 2 and Band 3. Only a few students who get Band 5.

The finding showing that 46.7% of the students are below standard could be due to various factors. Juyandegan as cited in Rosalina & Nasrullah (2019), stated that the factors include cognitive, affective, and psychomotor processes. To optimize learning, equal attention should be given to the three sides. The cognitive process occurs when students try to understand the text, main idea, etc. The affective one is the student emotional factors, such as self-esteem, inhibition, and anxiety when they try to comprehension the text. Then, the psychomotor factor takes place when considering the eye movements in any reading and mouth movements in reading aloud activity.

In addition, in relation to affective factors, especially for reading English academic texts, Hasyim (2018) puts an emphasis on the significance of self-efficacy. It is the students’ interest to read. The higher self-efficacy perceived by a student, that he or she is capable of doing, the stronger student’s interest to read English academic text.

However, according to Performance Band, it was interesting to note that several students in year one (1) and year two (2) categorized into “above standard”. Although they are still in Year one, they get Band 5. Those finding indicated that several students could do the test precisely. On the other hand, students in Band 2 can understand only a few words that they read. These students have difficulty answering literal comprehension questions, which is the easiest level of comprehension questions. Also, they cannot answer reorganisation comprehension questions. In fact, as university students, they are required to run a constructive thinking process dealing with comprehending explicit and implicit meanings, the process demanding the application, analysis, evaluation and imagination (Pumfrey, 1977).

Thus, the teachers have to focus on teaching reading skills to help students make an inference and draw a conclusion. It is important that they design the reading class as with supporting academic atmosphere through their variety of reading tasks, and texts as needed by the students.

Such diagnostic information is beneficial for a teacher to give an appropriate material in their learning process. By using READS, teachers can benchmark students’ reading abilities precisely. READS can be used as formative and summative. READS’s management system can assess, grade, and generate sophisticated data useful in decision-making by an educational professional.
4. Conclusion

Preparing standardized reading comprehension assessment for a student is very important to determine the level of reading ability. Teachers should prepare several steps to develop a standardized assessment. The adapted READS could be one of the best choices to design in the English Department. The result of the adapted READS test will give a specific indicator of student reading ability. It will describe what students can do and cannot do. Finally, EFL teacher can design suitable materials for students based on their reading ability.
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